

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This letter is responsive to the restriction requirement which was issued on July 12, 2006. In the restriction requirement, the Examiner stated that the application must be restricted to one of the inventions 1 and 2. Invention 1 was defined as comprising claims 1 – 10.

By this response, the applicant has cancelled claims 11 and 12 without prejudice to filing a divisional application. Accordingly, the only claims which remain in this application are claims 1 – 10.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BERESKIN & PARR

By 

Reg. No. 33,106
Tel: 416-957-1695